

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 \* \* \*

8 ABDULLAH & SONS RELATORS, )

9 Plaintiff(s), )

10 vs. )

11 SIG ROGITCH & ROGITCH COMM. )  
12 *et al.*, )

13 Defendant(s). )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

2:05-cv-01282-RLH-GWF

**ORDER**

14  
15 Before this Court is the Findings and Recommendations of United States Magis-  
16 trate Judge George W. Foley (#2, filed November 4, 2005, regarding Plaintiff's Complaint (#1,  
17 filed October 24, 2005. No objection was filed to Magistrate Judge Foley's Findings and Recom-  
18 mendations in accordance with Local rule IB 3-2 of the Rules of Practice of the United States  
19 District Court for the District of Nevada.

20 The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance  
21 with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-2 and determines that the Findings and  
22 Recommendations of Magistrate Judge Foley should be affirmed.

23 ....


24 ....

25 ....

26 ....

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Findings and  
2 Recommendations (#2) is AFFIRMED, and this matter is dismissed without prejudice to file a  
3 sufficient complaint.

4 Dated: December 20, 2005.

5  
6   
7 **ROGER L. HUNT**  
8 **U.S. District Judge**  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26